

**NOTICE REGARDING DEBUNKING & SKYPE HACKS,
“IMPOSSIBLE” EQUIPMENT BEHAVIOR &
THE “GENVERBRANDER” EVENT**

by
Nancy Talbott
BLT Research Team Inc.
www.blresearch.com



April, 2014 - Robbert van den Broeke conducting a public lecture and “healing,”
in Hoeven, Holland

***Posting of this report was made possible
through the generosity of
The Lucius O. Farish Research & Education Trust***

Last year Robbert began holding public lectures, “readings” and “healings” again in southern Holland, some organized by family and friends, others by Dutch parapsychologist Richard Krebber, the Director of the IPA (Comprehensive Parapsychological Consultancy) in Breda, Holland--see http://www.robberbvandenbroeke.nl/nieuws/29_juni_Open_dag_IPA_en_viering_10jarig_bestaan_), and others by various local spiritual groups.

Robbert has always perceived the significance of the anomalous events which constantly occur around him, ***including the crop circles***, as related to an *enlightened, spiritual “energy” or consciousness* which is external to

him—***that since early childhood he has been a conduit, a “medium” through which this “energy” manifests itself and communicates its purpose.*** Years ago Robbert began seeing people who wanted private “readings” and “healings,” but now there are more people than he can see individually and, so, he is trying to accommodate as many people as possible by holding more frequent public gatherings.



2007 – Robbert at a public lecture sponsored by a Dutch group interested in spiritual growth. Photo: Marij van Dam



June 29, 2014 – Robbert and parapsychologist Richard Krebber at the “Open Day” 10th anniversary celebration of the IPA in Breda.

At the 2014 event celebrating the 10th anniversary of the IPA’s opening Robbert and the IPA’s director, Richard Krebber, discussed the book *“Through the Windows of God”* (written by Shari Ka) and conducted a dialogue with their audience about the different phases of spiritual growth of mankind.



October, 2014 – Part of the audience which attended one of Robbert's lectures & healing sessions in Rotterdam.



Christmas, 2014 - When Robbert is “in his mediumship” and sending healing energy to the audience, light-balls often appear on photos taken by audience members.

WHAT MOTIVATES DEBUNKERS?

Is the escalating interference with Robbert’s and Nancy’s computers related to Robbert’s spiritual message and/or the increasing numbers of people who come to Robbert’s public lectures and “healings”? Are his photos of well-known icons of various formal religions attracting this attention? Or is the increasingly complexity and numbers of crop circles and the inexplicable physical effects found in their plants—and the other bizarre clearly physical effects related to these circles (documented by BLT Research) the cause? ***Whatever the reason, someone has been going to extreme lengths in an apparent attempt to discredit both Robbert’s and Nancy’s integrity and the BLT scientific evidence.***

In the past most of the debunking effort and computer hacks had been aimed primarily at Robbert’s computer and his Dutch supporters. But recent attacks—which have been increasing—have also begun to target the English-speaking audience. ***People unknown to Robbert***, who are on either my email or Skype lists, have received horrendous images and messages which purport to have come from Robbert.

Therefore I want to reassure BLT contacts and supporters—in the event that any of you should receive these very hostile messages--that these communications ***do not come from Robbert*** (or me).

My apologies for exposing readers to some of the ugliness Robbert and his family have endured for years. When Mr. v/d Broeke wrote a book about Robbert, Dutch debunkers resorted to this sort of tasteless display.



Photo of Robbert which was on the cover of his father's book – the implication is pretty clear.



Robbert depicted as a ghost in a graveyard with Michael Jackson (who had died) & Geert Wilders, a vilified Dutch politician whose life is threatened daily because of his strident insistence that all Muslims be removed from Holland.
The inference is that Robbert's life is ALSO in danger.

Here's an image also widely circulated after James Randi, an ex-magician and self-appointed authority on the "truth" regarding all things anomalous, published attacks on Robbert's integrity—a diatribe crafted to include only rumor and absolutely **zero** personal investigation or factual information.



The very real "vulture" James Randi feeding on carrion which has Robbert's face. Randi had never met or interviewed Robbert—not even once.

These are only a few of the images which have bombarded Dutch media in attempts to discredit Robbert and his family for years. You might at first think they are amusing, or just stupid...if it weren't for their unrelentingly hateful and threatening content.

The Dutch "Skeptic" Society, and in particular one of its prominent writers Rob Nanninga, has literally intimated that Robbert represents the "Devil." Mr. Nanninga's articles have repeatedly included what intelligent people recognize as unequivocally biased and totally unscientific commentary, since it consistently ignores readily available and detailed documentation refuting Nanninga's claimed "proof" of Robbert's deception—public claims which, I think, would provide rich fodder for a lawsuit here in the States.

Several years ago I offered to discuss my documentation and knowledge of Robbert with Mr. Nanninga because, naïvely, I thought perhaps he was unaware of the facts (I knew he had never met Robbert, and by then I had spent many summers with the v/d Broeke family). But Nanninga declined, committing himself instead to ignorance and vituperative insinuations.

As with other so-called "skeptics," because Nanninga had come up with a possible method to create images somewhat similar to some of Robbert's,

his unabashed arrogance apparently prevented him from considering any possibility he might be mistaken. *[Nanninga created this image, below, by putting his Devil on a tiny (2 in. wide) piece of transparent plastic which he then held close to the lens of his iPhone camera, while aiming the lens toward some venetian blinds as he took the photo.]*



April 5, 2012 - "Skepsis Blog" entry entitled "**Foto's van Robbert van den Broeke,**" used Nanninga's self-created "Devil" graphic for this article about Robbert.

Mr. Nanninga was well aware there are multiple eyewitnesses who have repeatedly testified that Robbert never holds anything in front of the lens (including myself, in extensive reports)...but he made no effort at all to interview Robbert or to avail himself of the opportunity to simply visit him and then watch exactly what Robbert does, or doesn't, do. This sort of dismissal of other people's honest, well-intended testimony is the true weakness of all of these self-styled debunkers. They're not skeptics, but biased, self-important, not very intelligent people who are much more emotionally tied to their own belief systems than are any of us who know Robbert and have actually worked with him. [Nanninga's "Devil" article: <http://www.skepsis.nl/blog/2012/04/fotos-van-robbert-van-den-broeke/>]

EVIDENCE OF SKYPE HACKS

One night in 2013 Robbert and I were on skype, as we often are. I had been telling Robbert about a photo I had seen on the internet of a very elderly Portuguese couple who, when young, could not afford a wedding

but whose children had finally been able to provide one for their parents. I hadn't saved the photo and began searching the internet for it—and while still searching, my skype indicated that Robbert was sending me a photo.



Oct. 17, 2013 – The first proof Robbert and I had that someone had hacked both of our computers & was listening to us on skype.



Oct. 17, 2013 – Another of the multiple images (Dr. Roll) which came to Nancy's skype, supposedly from Robbert.

As usual when Robbert and I are on skype together, I automatically hit “accept,” and was surprised to see the exact image I was looking for (above) pop up on my computer, as if it had come from Robbert. But he had never seen the photo and hadn’t, in fact, sent anything. ***But clearly somebody was hacked into our computers—and was listening to our conversation—sending the photo to inform us we weren’t alone.***

We had both known for quite awhile that someone had hacked Robbert’s computer before (and we also suspected our skype conversations were being monitored), but we were more amused by the image of the elderly newlyweds than upset. We didn’t associate Robbert’s previous hacker with this photo—probably because the image is so sweet—and therefore decided to try to talk to whomever was listening this time. We asked a couple of questions and, immediately, images which seemed related to the questions began to arrive through Nancy’s skype—most of which seemed amusing and essentially innocent.

We hadn’t asked anything about Dr. Roll (above), but since he had visited us in Holland in 2008 the arrival of his photo didn’t seem particularly odd. It is, however, an image of Dr. Roll neither of us had seen before.

But then an image came to Nancy’s skype of a decidedly different “tenor.”



Oct. 17, 2013 – Nancy & female relatives at her mother’s 90th birthday party several years ago, in N. Falmouth, Massachusetts.

This photo of my mother and female relatives ***is not on my computer***. In fact, my only print is in a file folder tucked under other envelopes of family photos in my grandmother’s desk in my bedroom. ***Equally significantly,***

Robbert had never seen (or even heard about) it—so there was no possibility it could exist on his computer.

How the hacker got this particular photo (of dozens taken of mother, all stored in the same desk)--an image with a very specific and atypical significance to me—is a real mystery. My apartment is on an upper floor in an historic, very solid brick building with a secure ground-floor entrance and extremely thick walls, with a solid metal door to my apartment, with good locks. All the windows are new, with good locks on them, and there's a multi-storey drop to the ground or pavement on all sides. And there are security cameras everywhere.

I **was** startled when this image of my mother came through because I knew Robbert had never seen it. I was even more perplexed when, after calling all my relatives who might have a copy, I learned that ***none of them had the image on any of their computers either.***

Two more photos came to my skype that night, also supposedly sent by Robbert--but he, like me, doesn't have the faintest idea who this man is. Neither of us were too surprised by all of this but we are both stymied. Also, this man (below) in the last 2 photos isn't very appealing and, since earlier hacks of Robbert's computer had been very nasty, we both realized this sort of invasion of privacy might escalate into something worse.

Both of us then did the best we could to try to make our computers more secure.



Oct. 17, 2013 – One of last 2 images to arrive via Nancy's skype, appearing to have been sent by Robbert. Is this the hacker?

But in spite of our efforts both of our computers have been hacked again.

In some of the subsequent instances I, and a few people on my email and skype lists, have received truly sick messages or photos. Its reassuring to me that the people on my lists who got these nasty messages were all quite intelligent enough to immediately recognize that a possibly psychotic person or persons had gained access to Robbert's and my mailing lists. I had my Mac tech guy again do what he could to protect my computer and Stan, Robbert's friend who has some technical expertise, did everything he could to block unauthorized access to Robbert's. But to no avail.

In August, 2014 we had another go-round with these idiots. On the night of August 20-21 we were on skype for a couple of hours when Robbert's computer camera started acting strangely. He hung up and then called me right back and his camera malfunction reminded of the October, 2013 hacking incident. I then told Robbert I'd checked with my relatives to see if any of them had the photo of mother's birthday party on their computers, but had been told "no." I did say to Robbert I thought that episode was a bit creepy and hoped it would not be repeated.

Five minutes later my skype indicated Robbert was sending me a photo and, without thinking, I hit the "accept" button, and then asked him what he was sending?

Robbert replied he hadn't sent anything. When I opened the image I was really surprised to see it showed the section of my living room the camera in my computer can see—and I also realized this photo must have been taken very recently because I'd just taken one of the wooden shutters down from the window-ledge....and placed it on the floor. I also noticed something else strange—the lighting in the room in unusual.



August 21, 2014 (12:17am, E. Coast US time) – Section of Nancy's living room hacker photographed through her computer's camera.
(Note lighting of room.)

I told Robbert that this photo was of my living room and asked him to check his skype "sent" list and, sure enough, it indicated his computer had sent the photo. But there are at least three reasons (in addition to my 18 years experience of his total honesty) why I am certain Robbert didn't take, or send, this photo:

(1) ***It is not possible for my living room to be so brightly lit at night***—there are no light fixtures in the room capable of producing this degree of light. Here's what the computer's camera sees of the room when I am on skype with Robbert (or anybody else):



Typical lighting in Nancy's quite dark living room (upper L) when she's on skype with anyone.


(2) ***Robbert is as "technically challenged" as I am and has no idea how to take a photo through the computer.*** If Robbert didn't have a few friends who know how to operate and maintain computers Robbert wouldn't even have one—and his website is 100% maintained by others.

(3) ***What happened on Robbert's computer next, which both of us saw clearly*** (see below).

Immediately after the photo of my living room arrived the same photo of my mother's 90th birthday came through next. At this point I asked (the hacker-person, whom we both assumed was listening again) for a couple of photos of Robbert's apartment and, within minutes, Robbert and I saw multiple flashes came out of his computer's screen. I clearly saw his face light up repeatedly, in synch with loud clicks as 4-5 photos were taken—and Robbert said he saw the skype box where my face usually is light up with the flash and said my face then disappeared...and he heard the loud clicks too. We both then asked to see the photos the hacker had just taken, but they did not arrive.

Instead two photos of men--a thin elderly guy in his bathrobe and a fat naked man, masturbating—followed by an horrific image of a child’s beheading arrived. Since this 2014 episode a post-it always covers my computer’s camera and I no longer automatically accept image files.

I didn’t know how to take screen-shots when this hacking thing first started years ago and therefore can’t post screenshots of any of the earlier inane emails and skype messages I’ve gotten (***all supposedly from Robbert***), but here’s the most recent example.




January 12, 2015 – “Stupit Boss women” Skype message, supposedly from Robbert (curiously, his computer then crashed, so he couldn’t reach me for days).

One lesson both Robbert and I have learned is to never take any message seriously which appears to have come from one of us to the other (or from Robbert to anybody)—until we can talk on the phone and/or on skype.

I also need to point out that some of the content in this January 12th skype message ***reflects very closely derogatory comments we know a few crass individuals have previously made about me***—further supporting our interpretation of these hacks as being a sadly very human intervention rather than anything more exotic.

Tonight (Jan. 29, 2015), as I was finishing writing this section, I opened skype to ask Robbert something—and 3 more messages were waiting for me--again supposedly from Robbert: “***OK, Satan is with you”...”knife in your body”...”Satan kill you soon.***” Similar sentiments to the messages other people have received....




January 29, 2015 – 3 more hacker messages for Nancy. Talk about needing a thick skin to stick with “anomalous phenomena” research.

Following are a few current Dutch debunking attacks aimed at Robbert. On January 25, 2015 an unidentified author’s diatribe appeared on the internet in a thing called the “Mallemoeders” (“Crazy Mothers”) blog: (<https://mallemoeder.wordpress.com/2015/01/25/de-robbert-van-den-broeke/>). The writer states that “*the most skeptical among us* [who “us” refers to is not clarified] *have finished with him,*” (which makes me then ask, why this article?). Peculiarly, the author goes on to say that Robbert has been “*rejected as acolyte and elected spokesman of God.*” I can’t help but wonder here if this writer ***thinks maybe Robbert is*** an “elected spokesman of God”? If so, he certainly didn’t get the idea from Robbert or any of us who know him well.

This blog’s lead illustration shows Dutch TV producer John Consemulder with Robbert (Consemulder had videotaped Robbert obtaining photos of an indigo-colored creature, in broad daylight, in a 2012 Dutch crop circle). Both men are depicted with Pinocchio noses, being manipulated by an image of a God-like puppeteer.



Dutch TV producer John Consemulder & Robbert with “Pinocchio” noses, with “God” as a puppeteer.



September 15, 2012 – Indigo-colored creature photographed by Robbert in a crop circle, while using Consemulder’s digital camera & simultaneously being videotaped. (<http://www.bltresearch.com/robbert/dutchoverview2012p2.php>)

Do you suppose Mr. Consemulder is here depicted as a liar too, simply because he is one of the many eyewitnesses who has documented an inexplicable event of the sort which constantly occur around Robbert?


“IMPOSSIBLE” EQUIPMENT MIS-BEHAVIOR & “GENVENBRANDER” EVENT

This blog article then brings up the single incident (which occurred 10 years ago during a reading Robbert did on Dutch TV)—***the incessantly cited “Genverbrander” spelling error***--which Robbert included in his reading (the correct spelling is “geneverbrander”). Back then none of us, especially Robbert, had enough experience or the time to analyze in greater depth the huge range of bizarre things regularly going on around Robbert. It was all we could do just to keep up with documenting the majority of those constantly-occurring events.

But as our experiences accumulated and we had some time to examine more closely a variety of the photos and videotapes, we began to uncover many very strange effects which were regularly occurring on the electronic equipment—and we also learned of a concept which, based on our more accurate understanding of how Robbert actually “receives” information and “messages,” suggested how the mis-spelling of geneverbrander most likely had occurred. More about this further on.

Regardless of which camera Robbert uses, when anomalous images manifest the “automatic” numbering of these photos is often incorrect and many numbers are either skipped entirely or duplicated--***and the camera file data (date & time photo taken) is regularly totally incorrect.***


A January 9, 2015 series of photos of the Virgin Mary taken by Robbert (with Stan present as a witness) revealed totally aberrant functioning of the camera’s file data, ***registering the date the photos were taken as one in the future—May 19, 2029.***



January 9, 2015 - One of the “Mary” images taken by Robbert (with Stan watching)
dated by the camera as having been taken in 2029.



April 19, 2014 – This most poignant image of a “crown” of thorns, taken on Good Friday, was dated by the camera ***as having been taken on August 27, 2028.***



April 19, 2014 – The camera’s file data for this whole series (taken on Good Friday) ***indicates all photos were taken in the future – on August 27, 2028.***

A detailed BLT report about this kind of abnormal malfunctioning of the cameras used by Robbert was posted on his BLT page in May, 2012: <http://www.blresearch.com/robbert/delgadochorley.php>. Robbert also points out the even more astounding discovery that ***video taken by a stationary camera aimed at him reveals the lens-angle MOVES as anomalies appear:*** http://www.robbertvandenbroeke.com/wonderful-events/Appearance_of_an_abnormality_in_a_photo_with_striking_message. Read the interesting message Robbert received regarding the purpose behind these anomalies affecting electronic equipment.



February 18-19, 2013 – Two images taken by a stationary videocamera (on a tripod) within seconds of each other show camera angle changes as anomalies appear.

Along with these discoveries we had become aware of the concept of the “Akashic” field (the storage “library” of the universe) as well as Lynne MacTaggart’s book “*The Field*,” which presents an argument--based in quantum physics--that everything is connected in a sea of energy (by the “Zero Point Field”). “*The Field*” is often described as a “bridge between

science and spirituality” which provides a scientific explanation for various psychic phenomena.

And it was this idea of a “storage library of the universe,” or a “Quantum Field” in which everything that has occurred is recorded and connected that suggested a possible explanation to Robbert--that at least some (or all?) of the anomalous photos he gets are in fact images “**borrowed**” from this repository. See Robbert’s website for his comments about this: http://www.robberbvandenbroeke.com/wonderful-events/Borrowed_images

We can’t identify all of the human faces which have appeared and also haven’t found pre-existing images to match many of the other photos. But BLT’s MIT-trained photo expert very carefully examined a series of Robbert’s photos and proved that--even when we did find images similar to some which appeared on Robbert’s camera--these previously-existing images **were not a genuine match** (BLT’s “Apparition Photos” report: <http://www.blresearch.com/robbert/apparition1.php>).

We know that Robbert “sees” (in his “mind’s eye”) new crop circles before they appear and, in the same way feels and “sees” deceased people and strange creatures and “beings” before they manifest in photos. Robbert’s mind is basically on “auto-pilot” when he does readings and whatever he “sees” is what spills out. ***It is this same source which provides him with the visual information he gets during “readings”*** (he also hears some details). We now surmise he does access this “quantum library” which is how the mis-spelling of “genverbrander” occurred. ***He saw the “library’s” copy of the internet entry, which was mis-spelled too.***




Robbert discussing “genverbrander” event with Sandra Reemer (begins at 10:28 in clip). [\[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GQDoqrXf88Y&app=desktop\]](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GQDoqrXf88Y&app=desktop)

One further point. Robbert consistently points out that intellectualizing these events distracts us from experiencing their primary meaning and purpose. The fact that the Dutch debunkers' focused with such emotional intensity on this one spelling error—ignoring the huge amount of available information supporting Robbert's honesty--reflects, I think, their emotional need to **believe** (not to intellectually evaluate) their version of "reality." Yes, it is admittedly difficult to put aside previous bias when confronted with new information—and not all people are capable of doing so. A well-known "joke" amongst many scientists (that "*science only moves forward as the old scientists die*") is more factual than we might wish.

Finally, the Dutch version of Wikipedia has a page describing the word "Charlatan" (<http://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charlatan>). There are only two entries—a man named Pietro Longhi who lived in 1757 and, you guessed it, **Robbert**. The references supplied to support Robbert's inclusion on this rather abridged list are, again, the Dutch "skeptics."

Another BLT report will be posted soon about Robbert's images of well-known religious icons.



© 2015 BLT Research Team Inc. All Rights Reserved. Re-publication of any images or text without written permission from Nancy Talbott is unlawful.